

Docket No.: 062758-0043 PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : Customer Number: 20277

Kunihiko MIYAZAKI, et al. : Confirmation Number: 8995

Application No.: 10/620,808 : Group Art Unit: 2137

Filed: July 17, 2003 : Allowed: July 31, 2008 Examiner: M.D.T. Nguyen

For: METHOD FOR VERIFYING A DIGITAL SIGNATURE

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 104(e)

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A Statement of Reasons for Allowance accompanied the July 31, 2008 Notice of Allowability regarding the above-identified application. Although Applicants agree that the claims are patentable over the art, entry of the Statement into the record should not necessarily be construed as any agreement with or acquiescence by Applicants in the reasoning set forth in the Statement, particularly to the extent that the wording used in the Statement differs from the actual claim language and/or the otherwise proper interpretation of the claim language.

The Statement initially characterizes the inventive subject matter as "a hysteresis signature verification method that reflects the reliability of the signature history adequately." This characterization is not attributable to Applicants and does not reflect the actual claim language. For example, no claim expressly recites a "hysteresis signature verification method," although the application does refer to a "hysteresis signature used for verification" (see e.g.

10/620,808

abstract of the disclosure). It is submitted that the language of the claims is clear and concise,

and it is the claim language that defines the scope of patentable subject matter encompassed to

varying degrees by the different scope of the respective claims.

The Statement also refers to a "uniquely distinct feature" and then essentially quotes from

two paragraphs of independent claim 5. Since the claim language is already of record, repetition

of selected claim language in the Statement adds nothing substantive to the record and should not

create any narrowing interpretation or estoppel with regard to any of the allowed claims or any of

the recitations contained in the allowed claims.

It is respectfully submitted that the allowed claims should be entitled to the broadest

reasonable interpretation and to the broadest range of equivalents that are appropriate in light of

the language of the claims, the supporting disclosure and Applicants' prosecution of the claims,

without reference to the Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

To the extent necessary, if any, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §

1.136 hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this

paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess

fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Please recognize our Customer No. 20277

as our correspondence address.

Keith E. George

Registration No. 34,111

600 13th Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005-3096

Phone: 202.756.8000 KEG:MWE Facsimile: 202.756.8087

Date: September 9, 2008

2